1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
2	IN AND FOR THE COUNTAND PALECY
3	STATE OF ARIZONA, CRESHAM ORIGINAL
4	Plaintiff,)
5)) No.
6 7	vs.) P1300CR2010-01325)
/	STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,)
8	Defendant.))
9	
10	
11	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE GARY E. DONAHOE VISITING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
12	APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY IN ROOM 302
13	YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA
14	
15	PRESCOTT, ARIZONA FRIDAY, MARCH 9, 2012
16	11:05 A.M. SESSION
17	
18	
19	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	Hearing Re: Status Conference
21	
22	
23	LISA A. CHANEY, RPR, CSR, CR
24	Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50801
25	ORIGINAL

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
2	ATTEARA CES
3	Appearing telephonically on behalf of the Plaintiff:
4	Yavapai County Attorney By: Mr. Jeff Paupore, Deputy
5	Mr. Steven Young, Deputy 255 E. Gurley Street
6	Prescott, Az 86301
7	Appearing telephonically on behalf of the Defendant:
8	Mr. Craig Williams, Attorney at Law
9	Mr. Greg Parzych, Attorney at Law
10	Also Present:
11	Mr. Scott Orr, Prescott Daily Courier
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	1	FRIDAY, MARCH 9, 2012
	2	11:05 A.M. SESSION
	3	(Appearances as heretofore noted.)
	4	
11:05AM	5	THE COURT: This is P1300CR2010 Number
	6	01325. It's the matter of Steven DeMocker and at this
	7	time I had requested a conference call to see how we
	8	proceed regarding the Court of Appeals' decision order
	9	regarding the defense motion to disqualify the Yavapai
11:05AM	10	County Attorney's Office or dismiss the case and perhaps
	11	I could have everybody announce your appearances.
	12	MR. YOUNG: Steve Young with the County
	13	Attorney's Office.
	14	MR. PAUPORE: Jeff Paupore with the County
11:06AM	15	Attorney's Office.
	16	MR. WILLIAMS: This is Craig Williams for
	17	Mr. DeMocker.
	18	MR. PARZYCH: Judge, this is Greg Parzych on
	19	behalf of Mr. DeMocker.
11:06AM	20	THE COURT: Thank you.
	21	And, Mr. Williams, are we waiving
	22	Mr. DeMocker's presence today?
	23	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Judge. For the record I
	24	talked to Mr. DeMocker and we have agreed to waive his
1:06AM	25	presence for this hearing.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 The first question I had, and maybe, I don't 3 know if you thought about this, Mr. Paupore and 4 Mr. Young, is how does the county attorney litigate this 5 11:06AM case? 6 And let me tell you what I'm thinking is 7 that if the defense team hasn't, and I don't know if you 8 have or not, viewed the sealed documents or pleadings 9 and orders, as a part of this hearing I'm going to have 10 11:06AM to look at these things and see what information was in 11 the pleadings and orders, all of these sealed documents, 12 and if you were to do that during the hearing, view 13 these documents that perhaps you haven't seen before, 14 how do we ever think that the case hasn't been tainted 15 11:07AM before, it certainly would be then. 16 So are you going to get your civil division 17 lawyers involved or how are you going to do this? 18 MR. YOUNG: No, I'm going to handle the 19 hearing, Your Honor. We don't think there's an issue 20 11:07AM there. 21 THE COURT: Well, again, if -- well, 22 Mr. Williams or Mr. Parzych, if you proceed during the 23 hearing, can come back and say, well, the whole case is

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, yes, Judge, there's --

tainted now.

24

25

1:07AM

in particular, there is a transcript that was ex-parte and sealed that was viewed by the county attorney and I think that the court absolutely has to see that and that's going to become an issue so by all events should be published to the press and I do think that it would taint the case.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not worried about the press at the moment. I think that in order for me to -- the way that I read this case is that the state has to firmly convince me that the viewing of these documents by employees of the county attorney's office did not directly or indirectly affect this case to the defendant's prejudice.

In order to do that I have to see all of the documents that any of the employees of the county attorney viewed, any of these sealed documents, pleadings, orders, whatever, because I need to see the information in them to see how this information could have affected the case and whether it did or not, and then I'm going to have to hear from all -- I think I'm going to have to hear from every employee in the county attorney's office that saw any of these documents and find out what they saw, when they saw it, why they looked at them, and what they did with the information.

And I guess what I'm driving at here is that

11:08AM

11:08AM 10

11:08AM 15

11:09AM 20

1:09AM 25

1 unless the defense is willing to waive any future 2 prejudice that Mr. Paupore -- or if the prosecution team 3 is involved in this hearing, then they're necessarily 4 going to see all of these documents, everything that's 11:09AM claimed to be attorney-client privilege, if they haven't 6 seen them before they're going to have to see them now 7 in order to litigate this case, and it seems to me that 8 the only way that the county attorney can claim, well, 9 there's no prejudice going forward is to get their civil 11:09AM 10 division, other lawyers involved, and develop a conflict 11 wall regarding this hearing. 12 MR. YOUNG: Judge, this is Mr. Young. Ι 13 don't agree with that premise. I think that there can 14 be ways -- you, obviously, do have to get all of the 11:10AM 15 documents that we're talking about and we'll talk about 16 those documents in a moment at the appropriate time. 17

There are ways in which perhaps only the face sheets can be shown to the prosecution or matters can be blacked out, but I see no problem whatsoever with our office holding this hearing, because when you view the documents, you're going to find that there's no merit to this motion.

THE COURT: Well, if that's the way that you want to proceed that's fine, but I'm just warning everybody that if I then get a subsequent motion to

1:10AM 25

11:10AM

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 disqualify the county attorney's office we're going to 2 have to go through all of this again to show that this 3 hearing in and of itself by the county attorney viewing 4 documents or potentially viewing documents that were 11:11AM sealed and contained what the Court of Appeals says is 6 attorney-client privileged matters or information, then 7 we're going to have to go through this whole thing 8 again. 9 Judge, if I may, this is Greg MR. PARZYCH: 11:11AM 10 Parzych. One thing I wanted to make clear, the Court of 11 Appeals did request and they did view all of those 12 sealed documents. They asked us to provide those. So 13 the Court of Appeals they have those documents and 14 presumably they reviewed those before they made their 15 decision. 11:11AM 16 THE COURT: Yeah, so I'm going to have to do 17 the same thing, and I guess what I'm saying is that, 18 Mr. Young thinks that he can litigate this without 19 seeing the documents, but I don't know how because 20 11:11AM people are going to have to get up and testify and say I 21 got certain information from these documents and this is 22 what I did with it or didn't do with it. 23 So I'm not sure how the current prosecution 24 team cannot be exposed during this hearing from 25

testimony about attorney-client privilege matters as to

1:12AM

1 what the Court of Appeals says was attorney-client 2 privilege matters, even if they don't look at the 3 documents, they're going to have to ask witnesses, well, 4 what information did you get and what did you do with 11:12AM it. 6 MR. PARZYCH: Right. And certainly, Judge, 7 we don't intend to waive that, that's our whole issue to 8 begin with. 9 THE COURT: Yeah, so that's my concern is 11:12AM 10 that if the county attorney hasn't been -- doesn't get 11 disqualified on the initial round, I'm going to have to 12 go through another round of this for the county attorney 13 saying, well, the information I learned in the course of 14 this hearing hasn't affected the case adversely or to 15 11:12AM the defendant's prejudice. 16 So, Mr. Young, how do you get around the 17 issue of, okay, you put a witness on the stand, say, one 18 of the victim's advocates and here she testifies about 19 the information that he or she saw from these sealed 11:13AM 20 You're going to hear the information. documents. 21 How do I get around the problem of, you 22 know, saying how is that not going to taint the case 23 going forward? 24 Judge, I don't think that any of MR. YOUNG: 25 1:13AM these people who are, quite frankly, lower level

1 employees are going to remember what the contents of 2 these documents are, and let me talk to you about sealed 3 the documents. The sealed documents are not sealed from 4 the county attorney's office. They are sealed from the 11:13AM 5 public. We have a right to the sealed documents. 6 that cuts this hearing in half right there. 7 THE COURT: Well, that's not the way that I 8 read the Court of Appeals' decision. 9 MR. YOUNG: I think that the Court of 10 11:13AM Appeals is misinformed. 11 THE COURT: Then you better file a Motion to 12 Reconsider or something because the way that the Court 13 of Appeals' decision reads is that there were -- even 14 one of the footnotes indicates that there may have been 11:14AM 15 ethical violations by looking at the sealed documents. 16 So I'm bound by what the Court of Appeals 17 I'm not relitigating that. So, again, the 18 burden's on you and if you have some other way to prove 19 it, but I think that I have to hear from everybody that 20 looked at these documents and what they saw and what --11:14AM 21 and why they saw them or why they looked at them and 22 what they did with the information. 23 MR. YOUNG: We intend to put that on, Judge. 24 If I may, if you look at the -- do you have the clerk's 25 report regarding the sealed documents? 1:14AM

1:16AM

THE COURT: I don't have it here in front of me. I've got it in one of the files or attached to one of the pleadings. I'd have to dig it out of my file folder, but I don't have it in front of me.

MR. YOUNG: Judge, some of those documents deal with Grand Jury minutes and a transcript of the Grand Jury proceedings. Clearly, the state is entitled to those so that should be excluded from the hearing.

They also deal with jury messages. The state was privy to those jury messages so, clearly, that class of document is excluded from this hearing.

Also, interestingly, there were sealed motions from the state itself on this list, Judge, so, clearly, those are excluded from this hearing and then there were some defense motions that were copied to attorneys for the state so, clearly, those are excluded from this hearing.

Lastly, there are a number of 15.9 Orders that are on this sealed documents list that are also on the ex-parte sealed documents list which we already know were routed to the county attorney's office by the clerk's office itself. So they're double counted by the defense in their original motion. So we've really narrowed down the class of documents that we're talking about here, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know. The burden's on you. So if you have a plan going forward, that's great. So I'm just warning you of this, that if during the course of this hearing you see documents or hear testimony about these documents that you claim that the county attorney hasn't seen, that the prosecution team hasn't seen before and hasn't been used in any way that would adversely affect the case to the prejudice of the defendant, then you've got a problem.

So if you want to go forward knowing that or having been warned of that, that's fine with me, but I'm just alerting you to the issue. You may need to talk to somebody about it and think about it because when I read this opinion that was the first thing that I thought about.

How does the county attorney, at least the prosecution team, litigate this without there being an appearance that they've tainted the case, if they haven't already tainted the case now by hearing testimony about what's in these documents?

MR. YOUNG: Judge, my suggestion there is that we can't have our civil division handle this because some people in our civil division are alleged to have viewed these documents and I think what the defense lawyer view is you impute anyone from the county

1 attorney's viewing of these to the entire county 2 attorney's office. 3 I'm confident that we can represent 4 ourselves in this hearing, Judge, and if there is an 5 11:17AM issue, I feel the candor would be on the defense to ask 6 for an in camera inspection by the Judge regarding the 7 particular documents. THE COURT: Well, it's not -- I'm going to 8 9 look at all of these documents. I've got to see all of 10 11:17AM these documents any way, but there's not going to be in 11 camera testimony from somebody at the county attorney's 12 office about what he or she saw in these documents and 13 what they did with them. 14 They're going to have to testify about the 11:17AM 15 substance of these documents and I suspect that 16 Mr. Williams is going to hand them a document and say 17 here it is, here's the information that was in it, what 18 did you do with this, and why did you look at it. 19 So that's not going to be in camera, that's 11:18AM 20 going to be in an open courtroom, and the county 21 attorney's prosecution team is going to be there and if 22 they -- if the case hasn't been tainted before, there's 23 going to be the appearance of taint then. 24 MR. YOUNG: I don't think --25 :18AM THE COURT: Wait a second.

1:19AM

So if the civil division can't do it, maybe the other option is that you hire outside counsel to come in and litigate this one issue, but I just think that you're walking on a minefield if you think that the prosecution team can go forward with this without the prospect or appearance of a taint go forward, because I just -- I just can see the hearing coming up.

I can see what these people are going to testify to at this hearing and they're going to say, well, I looked at this document and it contained x, y, and z because now that my memory has been refreshed by looking at it and this is what I did with it.

So you're going to hear all of this what the Court of Appeals said is attorney-client privileged matters. You're going to hear that in an open courtroom.

MR. YOUNG: I don't think that that's going to be the case with most of these witnesses. I think that they're going to say I don't remember viewing a document on such and such a date. I don't dispute this report and I have no idea what that document says and I never talked to the prosecutors regarding this document.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you've been fairly advised or warned by me and you got -- you got to prove to me beyond a reasonable doubt, which means you have to

	1	firmly convince me that this information did not
	2	directly or indirectly affect the case in any way that
	3	it would prejudice the defense and so if you think
	4	that you can do that without tainting the case going
11:20AM	5	forward, good luck, but I'm just alerting you to the
	6	fact that I'm not so sure that that's possible.
	7	MR. YOUNG: I appreciate it, Judge. Thank
	8	you.
	9	THE COURT: So, Mr. Williams, perhaps you
11:20AM	10	can can you have all of these documents that you
	11	think all of these sealed documents well, let me
	12	ask, how were they provided to the Court of Appeals?
	13	Were they provided in electronic form or hard copies or
	14	how?
11:20AM	15	MR. WILLIAMS: No, we gave them hard copies,
	16	Judge.
	17	THE COURT: Can you make a copy of those and
	18	perhaps give them to Judge Mackey's Judicial Assistant
	19	and then she can mail those to me?
11:20AM	20	MR. WILLIAMS: Absolutely, Judge.
	21	THE COURT: I don't know how many there are,
	22	but I need to start reviewing these before we before
	23	the hearing. So
	24	MR. PARZYCH: Judge, if I may, Judge, I have
1:21AM	25	I believe the copies the only copies that the

	1	defense has left I actually have them in my office in
	2	Maricopa County. So if you're somewhere in Maricopa
	3	County we can have our investigator copy them and get
	4	them to you because they're already down here.
11:21AM	5	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I live in Phoenix.
	6	So does has anybody scanned them? Does anybody have
	7	an electronic form?
	8	MR. PARZYCH: They're pretty thick. I
	9	don't
11:21AM	10	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry I interrupted. No,
	11	they're not scanned. They're pretty voluminous.
	12	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, yeah, I
	13	can have them I was just thinking how I could get
	14	them.
11:21AM	15	MR. PARZYCH: Do you still have a mailbox at
	16	the courthouse, Judge?
	17	THE COURT: No, I don't. They'd have to
	18	the investigator would have to come by my house and I'm
	19	kind of hit-and-miss when I'm at home so I don't have
11:22AM	20	an office down here or anything. So
	21	MR. PARZYCH: I guess we can just mail them
	22	the way that you originally suggested. I just thought
	23	if you had some drop box, we could drop them off.
	24	THE COURT: No, I don't at the courthouse.
1:22AM	25	Yeah, just get them to Cheryl and then she can mail them
	1	

1 to me because it's going to -- I think we're going to 2 have to take a little bit of time for --3 So I guess the next issue or the next thing 4 is I was wondering about what you all wanted to do about 5 11:22AM disclosure and discovery as suggested by the Court of 6 Appeals. 7 Mr. Williams, have you thought about that at 8 all or what you would like to see from the state? 9 MR. WILLIAMS: We have, Judge. We haven't 10 11:23AM really -- we were waiting to talk to you and try to get 11 some scheduling done before we actually fired off a 12 request for disclosure from the state. 13 So in my mind the disclosure was kind of the 14 cart before the horse and if we had a date that we were 11:23AM 15 going to shoot for to have these hearings, then we could 16 say, okay, here's the deadline for doing this. 17 THE COURT: Well, my thought was that I'd 18 have the state do a disclosure statement that lists all 19 of the employees in the county attorney's office that 11:23AM 20 viewed any of the sealed documents and the disclosure 21 statement I'm going to -- I would require it to be 22 pretty detailed and have to -- at least to the extent 23 that these employees were called, when they viewed them, 24 what each of them viewed, why they viewed them, and what 25 1:24AM they did with the information, if anything.

1 So if I had the state do that in about 30 2 days, I was thinking perhaps by April 9th, if that would 3 give them time, and then I thought the second step would 4 be to have -- allow the defense team, if you want to 5 11:24AM interview these people, give you until the end of April 6 to do that, and then have you do a disclosure. Have the 7 defense do a disclosure, say, for any of your witnesses 8 and what they're going to say and detailing what 9 prejudice or how you think this affected the case and 11:24AM 10 have you do that perhaps by May. I was thinking 11 May 4th. 12 And then because the Court of Appeals wants 13 me to do detailed findings of fact and conclusions of 14 law, have both sides submit a proposed findings of fact 11:24AM 15 and conclusions of law perhaps by May 9th, set a hearing 16 date for May 14th and go from there. 17 I don't know how many days you might need. 18 I don't know how many people are going to have to 19 testify, but set aside two or three days for the 11:25AM 20 hearing. 21 MR. WILLIAMS: That sounds like a good plan 22 to me, Judge. This is Craig Williams. 23 MR. PARZYCH: Judge, the only concern that I 24 have, and I know that you've already gone through this, 25 :25AM but if the state's going to supply the disclosure

1 statement and that disclosure statement has what people 2 viewed and what they saw, again, we're in that same 3 issue that the court brought up, that even in the disclosure statement we may be getting into areas that 4 5 11:25AM are, you know, the current attorneys assigned to the 6 case are going to be seeing things that they have not 7 seen before. 8 THE COURT: Who was that talking? 9 I'm sorry, Judge, this is Greg MR. PARZYCH: 10 Parzych. 11:25AM 11 THE COURT: Well, that's -- I've warned 12 them. 13 MR. PARZYCH: Right. 14 THE COURT: I told the state that they're 15 11:26AM walking through a minefield and if that happens, then 16 they're going to have to show that this whole process, 17 as part of this hearing, if we go on May 14th, that 18 they're going to become witnesses too, Mr. Paupore and 19 everybody on the prosecution team or anybody involved in 20 11:26AM the disclosure statement of the hearing, they're going 21 to probably be witnesses to this and that's why I'm 22 concerned that they've got a real -- the prosecution 23 team has a real problem here, but if they don't see it, 24 I can see the freight train coming down the tracks here. 1:26AM 25 MR. PARZYCH: And, Judge, I appreciate it,

1 and, again, I just wanted to bring it up that I think 2 that the disclosure statement in and of itself may start 3 that train rolling earlier than you even anticipated. 4 THE COURT: Yeah, that's why I'm suggesting 5 11:26AM that they might need to get outside counsel to do this.

> MR. YOUNG: Judge, this is Mr. Young. We'll consider that suggestion. I just don't want to commit to anything right now. I didn't anticipate that this would be an issue. We've already done a report that's been attached to prior pleadings where these employees have been interviewed. So, I mean, that's already been That's, in essence, what our disclosure statement would consist of.

> THE COURT: Well, and that may be one of the issues at trial -- at the hearing is that -- I don't know what the defense is going to say about it, but they may want to say, okay, well, how did that -- how did that -- somebody's going to have to show to me that this whole investigation hasn't tainted the case.

So it's not only the prior viewings but everything that occurred afterwards is going to have to show me that this information that was obtained wasn't viewed in any way, in the words of the case that has been cited, directly or indirectly adversely affected this case.

11:27AM

11 12

10

6

7

8

13

14

15 11:27AM

16

17

18

19

20 11:27AM

21

22

23

24

25 1:28AM

1 So that's the burden. I think that the 2 state has a high burden here and they've got to disclose 3 a lot of loose ends or answer a lot of questions in my 4 mind to firmly convince me that the case hasn't been 5 11:28AM prejudiced in any way. 6 So how you do that, that's for you all to 7 decide, and who does it for the state, that's for you to 8 decide too, but I think that you've been fairly advised 9 that this whole process needs to be viewed very 11:28AM 10 carefully and somebody needs to analyze who can do it 11 without the appearance that the case has been tainted 12 going forward. 13 The schedule that I laid out is that going 14 to work for the state? 11:29AM 15 MR. YOUNG: Judge, in light of the court's 16 comments regarding the potential that we may need 17 outside counsel to handle this, we're going to need more 18 I'm not saying that we're going to get outside 19 counsel to do this, Judge. We still have to analyze 20 11:29AM that, but we're going to need some more time if that's 21 the case. 22 THE COURT: Well, let's go through the 23 When does the -- the steps that I had were, steps. 24 first, the state's disclosure, and then two or three 1:29AM 25 weeks for defense interviews, third step was having the

	1	
	1	defendant do a disclosure statement, and after that
	2	having both sides then propose findings of facts and
	3	conclusions of law to me, and then the next step would
	4	be a hearing date.
11:30AM	5	So give me a timeline to do all of that.
	6	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, Judge, could I suggest
	7	that maybe the state have an opportunity to talk this
	8	over and analyze this and maybe set a hearing date next
	9	week so we can revisit it?
11:30AM	10	MR. PARZYCH: I won't be available next
	11	week.
	12	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
	13	MR. PARZYCH: Judge, this is Greg Parzych.
	14	I'm not available next week. I'm out of state next
11:30AM	15	week.
	16	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, I forgot that.
	17	How about the week after that?
	18	THE COURT: We're talking about the week of
	19	the 19th. How about we do it on the 19th? That's when
11:30AM	20	we were suppose to do our pretrial conference.
	21	MR. WILLIAMS: That's okay with the defense,
	22	Judge.
	23	MR. PARZYCH: Yes, Judge, this is Greg
	24	Parzych, works for me.
1:30AM	25	MR. YOUNG: Judge, Mr. Young, yes, that

1 works for the state. Do you plan on it being telephonic 2 or just having -- holding it in person? 3 THE COURT: No, I was going to do it 4 telephonic because all I would plan to do is set the 11:31AM 5 schedule for this, as I said, we have the steps and 6 perhaps between now and the 19th you all can discuss 7 among yourselves a proposed timeline too. I just had 8 that timeline that I had roughed out thinking that would 9 give everybody enough time. 10 11:31AM So what I'm going to have to do is I'm going 11 to vacate -- I'm going to have to vacate the final 12 pretrial conference and set a telephonic conference for 13 March, I guess, it's March 19th. We had it at 9 o'clock so let's just do that at 9 o'clock because I think 14 15 11:31AM that's when I had the pretrial conference starting. 16 I'm going to vacate the trial date too 17 because there's no way -- this Court of Appeals' 18 decision is going to cause a significant delay in the 19 trial and then I'm going to have to continue the last 20 11:32AM day indefinitely until this whole thing has been 21 resolved. 22 So I'll talk to you on the 19th and if you 23 decide on outside counsel or some other attorneys being 24 involved, have them on the conference call. 25 MR. YOUNG: Yes, sir. Any other dates that :32AM

1 have been set or, say, reply times? There's a 2 deposition that we had asked for for Jim DeMocker that's set for next week. 3 4 Are those on hold, vacated, could you give 5 11:32AM us some guidance in that area, Your Honor. 6 That, as far as I'm concerned, THE COURT: 7 whatever you need to do to get the case ready for trial 8 still needs to be done. So unless you work out an 9 agreement with Mr. James DeMocker to continue his 11:33AM 10 deposition or something, that's how you work that out, 11 but I haven't -- I don't want this to cause everybody to 12 say, well, we're stopping everything. I want everybody 13 to continue to prepare for trial. 14 MR. PAUPORE: Your Honor, this is Jeff 11:33AM 15 Paupore. Mr. Williams and I actually did talk about the 16 James DeMocker deposition which by court order is set 17 for the 14th and then Mr. Williams is likely to 18 follow-up with this on his -- on our agreement to depose 19 his expert who is ill. 11:33AM 20 I don't know if the court has -- on the 21 James DeMocker matter I'm not sure if the court has seen 22 Bruce Griffin's Motion for Reconsideration of the 23 ordering of his client's deposition. 24 THE COURT: I got that. I just printed it 25 :34AM out this morning and I kind of glanced at it. At least

my preliminary thought is that all of those issues should have or could have been raised in the Virginia Court. So I'm going to read it again, but I'm not inclined to grant it at least preliminary. That's my preliminarily thought on it. That any issues about jurisdiction, statutory interpretation, that the Virginia Court has the jurisdiction to litigate all of that, and it should have been litigated when they appeared in front of the Virginia Court. So I'm not so sure that I'm going to do anything with that, but I want to read through it. I just glanced at it when I printed it out this morning.

MR. PAUPORE: That was my thought too, Your Honor, and Mr. Williams -- we would like to, because it took a lot of effort to get this deposition scheduled, we would prefer to proceed ahead and hold Mr. DeMocker's deposition on the 14th if he appears.

THE COURT: Yeah, and I don't want to ex-parte Mr. Griffin here on this, but since Mr. Parzych asked about it, I don't want to argue this now. I'm just telling you -- suggesting or telling you my preliminary thoughts on this, and on a Motion to Reconsider I'm not required to order a response. I can rule on it just on the pleading and I'm just giving you my preliminary thought.

11:34AM

11:34AM

11:34AM 15

11:35AM 20

1:35AM 25

1 Once I read through it again this morning, 2 if I want a response, I'll order it, but I'm just 3 relating my -- at least since Mr. Parzych asked about 4 it, my preliminary thoughts on this, and I'm not trying 5 11:35AM to ex-parte Mr. Griffin, but that's what I'm thinking. So, again, if you've got an expert scheduled 6 7 to be interviewed and you've got depositions or 8 interviews, other interviews, get them out of the way because then when we do reset a trial date, then we 9 11:36AM 10 don't have to worry about, you know, last minute 11 preparation and everybody scrambling around to do 12 interviews. They can concentrate on getting ready for 13 trial. 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, this is Craig 11:36AM 15 First, I don't think that it was Mr. Parzych Williams. 16 that asked about it. I think it was Mr. Young that 17 asked about it. 18 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought it was 19 Mr. Parzych. 20 MR. WILLIAMS: No, I think that it was 11:36AM 21 Mr. Young, but here's my position, the defense's 22 position on the interviews. 23 I talked to Mr. Paupore and I told him that 24 I felt that the Jim DeMocker deposition was pursuant to 1:36AM 25 a court order and that I would honor a court order and

1 go and participate in that and I felt that if we have to 2 preserve one of my experts, because he has kidney cancer 3 and I'm worried about his health, if we had to do that 4 Mr. Paupore has been gracious enough to do that in a 11:37AM deposition form, but as far as the interviews go, I 6 think that -- and I know that this sounds like you could 7 be arguing out of both sides of your mouth here because I'm going to say, well, I'll do the depositions, but I'm 9 not going to do the interviews because, frankly, I don't 10 11:37AM think that the county attorney is the appropriate party 11 to be trying this case and I'm uncomfortable doing 12 interviews with them anymore. 13 I said that before when this first came up 14 when Judge Darrow was on the case and I'm reiterating 11:37AM 15 this now, and I don't mean any offense to the Yavapai 16 County Attorney, and I did tell Mr. Paupore that I'm not 17 comfortable going forward with interviews with him. 18 If the court orders me to I will obey a 19 court order, but I disagree with Mr. Young that there's 11:37AM 20 no cause of action here. I think that the cause of 21 action is huge. So they're not the appropriate party in 22 my opinion. So whatever input the court has on that I 23 welcome. 24 THE COURT: I hadn't thought about it. Ι

guess -- I guess I'll hear from Mr. Paupore, but just

25

1:38AM

thinking out loud it sounds like you may be right. If the case -- it's how this motion is to disqualify is resolved and the county attorney hasn't yet -- hasn't yet firmly convinced me that this case hasn't been affected to the defense prejudice, I guess maybe they shouldn't be doing any interviews.

Now, if you need to do a video tape deposition or a deposition of some witness that's going to likely pass away, I guess you can waive -- you can waive the conflict on the record and do the deposition if you need to, or if the state is willing to do it, that's between you all, and I don't know about James DeMocker, you know, I could see James DeMocker appearing for a deposition and pleading the fifth on every question. So I'm not so sure how that's going to shake out. So that may be -- that may not be a real issue.

So -- but I guess if the county attorney were to take the deposition and then later be disqualified, a new county attorney or the new prosecution team would just take Mr. DeMocker's -- James DeMocker's deposition again, but those are issues that I think that the county attorney kind of needs to sort out and analyze because the way that this decision order reads it's kind of like the presumption of innocence. The defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty

1:40AM 25

beyond a reasonable doubt at least the way that I read this decision order.

The state -- there's a presumption here that the defendant has been prejudiced and that until the state proves otherwise, beyond a reasonable doubt, then the taint continues. So that's the way I'm looking at it.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, Judge, I will obey the court order. As far as the deposition goes, I'll make a record when I get there and we'll proceed accordingly at least from the defense point of view.

THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not -- I'm not vacating this. If the county attorney looks at this and decides that they want to reschedule the deposition until after -- after this motion to disqualify the county attorney's office is resolved, that's okay with me. I'm not telling them what to do.

I'm just suggesting that they need to carefully analyze the decision order from the Court of Appeals. It's going to affect the case going forward until that issue is resolved.

So they can look at it and make their decisions and, you know, they're smart people, they can decide what to do, or have other attorney or outside counsel take Mr. DeMocker's deposition. I don't know.

1 There's some options there, but it's up to them to 2 decide. 3 So at least for today let's let me order, 4 it's ordered setting another status conference, 5 11:41AM telephonic status conference, on March 19th at 9 a.m. 6 and it's further ordered vacating the pretrial 7 conference that was set that day, and it's further 8 ordered vacating the trial date. 9 It's further ordered continuing the last day 11:42AM 10 until further order -- indefinitely until further order of the court. So I will talk to you on the 19th. 11 12 And, Mr. Parzych, there's no -- or, 13 Mr. Williams, there's no hurry in getting those 14 documents to me since this is going to play out for 11:42AM 15 awhile but, you know, whenever is convenient for you, 16 just mail the documents to Cheryl, and she'll mail them 17 to me. 18 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Judge, we'll get it 19 done. 11:42AM 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. PARZYCH: Thank you, Judge. 22 THE COURT: I'm not sure who's speaking. 23 MR. PARZYCH: Sorry, Judge, this is Greg 24 Parzych. 25 THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead. L:42AM

	1	MR. PARZYCH: No, I just said thank you.
	2	THE COURT: Oh, okay. You all have
	3	Mr. Paupore, anything further?
	4	MR. PAUPORE: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank
11:42AM	5	you.
	6	THE COURT: Okay. You all have a good day
	7	and thanks for taking the time to talk to me today. I
	8	appreciate it.
	9	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge.
11:42AM	10	THE COURT: All right. Bye-bye.
	11	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	ı	l de la companya de l

<u>CERTIFICATE</u> STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF YAVAPAI I, Lisa A. Chaney, a Certified Reporter, in the State of Arizona, do hereby certify that the proceedings had in the foregoing entitled matter are contained fully and accurately in the shorthand record made by me thereof, and that the following pages constitute a full, true and accurate transcript of the said shorthand record, all done to the best of my skill and ability. DATED this 14th day of March, 2012. CHANEY, RPR, CSR, CR Certified Reporter

Certificate No. 50801